

DOUBLE SHEET.

NEWS BY TELEGRAPH.

CONGRESSIONAL PROCEEDINGS.

Abolitionism Nonplussed in the Senate.

The Naval Discipline Bill Defeated in the House.

THE GREAT MINT MEETING IN PHILADELPHIA.

STRUGGLE FOR COMMERCIAL SUPREMACY.

THE BROTHERS OF LOVE ADVOCATING THEIR CLAIMS.

BUSINESS IN THE N. Y. LEGISLATURE.

Movement for the Repeal of the Liquor License Law.

SINGULAR DEVELOPMENTS RELATIVE TO THE CANAL LETTINGS.

The Opening of Navigation Throughout the Country.

Fatal Steamboat Explosion on the Mississippi.

Irish Riot at Lockport—Kossuth at New Orleans, &c., &c., &c.

THIRTY-SECOND CONGRESS. FIRST SESSION.

Senate.

THE MEDICAL BOARDING COMMISSIONERS.

The Chair laid before the Senate a communication from the Secretary of War, in reply to a resolution calling for copies of all charges on file against the Boundary Commissioners. The Secretary states that no charges of the kind indicated are on file in that Department, nor were the Commissioners in any way responsible to that department.

PETITIONS FOR RAILROAD LANDS—FREE FARMS—REPEAL OF THE FUGITIVE SLAVE LAW, &c., &c., &c.

Mr. Walker presented petitions in favor of grants of land to Wisconsin for several railroads in that State. Also, two petitions in favor of the freedom of the public lands in that State.

Mr. Walker also presented a petition from the Wisconsin State Board of Agriculture, signed by men, women, and children, praying for the repeal of the Fugitive Slave Law. On motion of Mr. Walker, the petition was laid on the table.

Mr. Sumner presented a petition in favor of the repeal of the Fugitive Slave Law.

Mr. Sumner presented a petition in favor of the repeal of the Fugitive Slave Law.

THE DEFENDENT BILL.

Was received from the House, and referred to the Finance Committee.

ABOLITION MOVEMENTS FRUSTRATED.

Mr. Seward, (free soil) of N. Y., presented a petition in favor of the repeal of the Fugitive Slave Law, which he moved to be referred to the Judiciary Committee.

Mr. Mason, (dem.) of Va., said he would not object.

Mr. Sumner, (dem.) of N. H., moved to lay Mr. Seward's petition on the table. Carried by a vote of 31, as follows—

Yea—Messrs. Adams, Atchison, Badger, Bayard, Borah, Bradley, Brewster, Brooks, Chase, Clark, Clemen, Cooper, Dawkins, Davis, (of Iowa), Douglas, Evans, Feltz, Geyer, Hamlin, Hunter, Jones, (of Iowa), Jones, (of Tennessee), King, Mallory, Mangum, Mason, Miller, Norris, Rusk, Schuchert, Shields, Sprague, Underhill, Walker, &c., &c., &c.

Nay—Messrs. Dodge, (of Wisconsin), Foster, Hale, Seward, Sumner, and Wade—6.

Mr. Hale, (free soil) of N. H., presented a petition praying for the repeal of the Fugitive Slave Law. A motion for its consideration was made by a vote of 31, as follows—

Yea—Messrs. Adams, Atchison, Badger, Bayard, Borah, Bradley, Brewster, Brooks, Chase, Clark, Clemen, Cooper, Dawkins, Davis, (of Iowa), Douglas, Evans, Feltz, Geyer, Hamlin, Hunter, Jones, (of Iowa), Jones, (of Tennessee), King, Mallory, Mangum, Mason, Miller, Norris, Rusk, Schuchert, Shields, Sprague, Underhill, Walker, &c., &c., &c.

Nay—Messrs. Dodge, (of Wisconsin), Foster, Hale, Seward, Sumner, and Wade—6.

Mr. Hale, (free soil) of N. H., presented a petition praying for the repeal of the Fugitive Slave Law. A motion for its consideration was made by a vote of 31, as follows—

Yea—Messrs. Adams, Atchison, Badger, Bayard, Borah, Bradley, Brewster, Brooks, Chase, Clark, Clemen, Cooper, Dawkins, Davis, (of Iowa), Douglas, Evans, Feltz, Geyer, Hamlin, Hunter, Jones, (of Iowa), Jones, (of Tennessee), King, Mallory, Mangum, Mason, Miller, Norris, Rusk, Schuchert, Shields, Sprague, Underhill, Walker, &c., &c., &c.

Nay—Messrs. Dodge, (of Wisconsin), Foster, Hale, Seward, Sumner, and Wade—6.

Mr. Hale, (free soil) of N. H., presented a petition praying for the repeal of the Fugitive Slave Law. A motion for its consideration was made by a vote of 31, as follows—

Yea—Messrs. Adams, Atchison, Badger, Bayard, Borah, Bradley, Brewster, Brooks, Chase, Clark, Clemen, Cooper, Dawkins, Davis, (of Iowa), Douglas, Evans, Feltz, Geyer, Hamlin, Hunter, Jones, (of Iowa), Jones, (of Tennessee), King, Mallory, Mangum, Mason, Miller, Norris, Rusk, Schuchert, Shields, Sprague, Underhill, Walker, &c., &c., &c.

Nay—Messrs. Dodge, (of Wisconsin), Foster, Hale, Seward, Sumner, and Wade—6.

Mr. Hale, (free soil) of N. H., presented a petition praying for the repeal of the Fugitive Slave Law. A motion for its consideration was made by a vote of 31, as follows—

Yea—Messrs. Adams, Atchison, Badger, Bayard, Borah, Bradley, Brewster, Brooks, Chase, Clark, Clemen, Cooper, Dawkins, Davis, (of Iowa), Douglas, Evans, Feltz, Geyer, Hamlin, Hunter, Jones, (of Iowa), Jones, (of Tennessee), King, Mallory, Mangum, Mason, Miller, Norris, Rusk, Schuchert, Shields, Sprague, Underhill, Walker, &c., &c., &c.

Nay—Messrs. Dodge, (of Wisconsin), Foster, Hale, Seward, Sumner, and Wade—6.

Mr. Hale, (free soil) of N. H., presented a petition praying for the repeal of the Fugitive Slave Law. A motion for its consideration was made by a vote of 31, as follows—

Yea—Messrs. Adams, Atchison, Badger, Bayard, Borah, Bradley, Brewster, Brooks, Chase, Clark, Clemen, Cooper, Dawkins, Davis, (of Iowa), Douglas, Evans, Feltz, Geyer, Hamlin, Hunter, Jones, (of Iowa), Jones, (of Tennessee), King, Mallory, Mangum, Mason, Miller, Norris, Rusk, Schuchert, Shields, Sprague, Underhill, Walker, &c., &c., &c.

Nay—Messrs. Dodge, (of Wisconsin), Foster, Hale, Seward, Sumner, and Wade—6.

Mr. Hale, (free soil) of N. H., presented a petition praying for the repeal of the Fugitive Slave Law. A motion for its consideration was made by a vote of 31, as follows—

Yea—Messrs. Adams, Atchison, Badger, Bayard, Borah, Bradley, Brewster, Brooks, Chase, Clark, Clemen, Cooper, Dawkins, Davis, (of Iowa), Douglas, Evans, Feltz, Geyer, Hamlin, Hunter, Jones, (of Iowa), Jones, (of Tennessee), King, Mallory, Mangum, Mason, Miller, Norris, Rusk, Schuchert, Shields, Sprague, Underhill, Walker, &c., &c., &c.

Nay—Messrs. Dodge, (of Wisconsin), Foster, Hale, Seward, Sumner, and Wade—6.

Mr. Hale, (free soil) of N. H., presented a petition praying for the repeal of the Fugitive Slave Law. A motion for its consideration was made by a vote of 31, as follows—

Yea—Messrs. Adams, Atchison, Badger, Bayard, Borah, Bradley, Brewster, Brooks, Chase, Clark, Clemen, Cooper, Dawkins, Davis, (of Iowa), Douglas, Evans, Feltz, Geyer, Hamlin, Hunter, Jones, (of Iowa), Jones, (of Tennessee), King, Mallory, Mangum, Mason, Miller, Norris, Rusk, Schuchert, Shields, Sprague, Underhill, Walker, &c., &c., &c.

Nay—Messrs. Dodge, (of Wisconsin), Foster, Hale, Seward, Sumner, and Wade—6.

Mr. Hale, (free soil) of N. H., presented a petition praying for the repeal of the Fugitive Slave Law. A motion for its consideration was made by a vote of 31, as follows—

Yea—Messrs. Adams, Atchison, Badger, Bayard, Borah, Bradley, Brewster, Brooks, Chase, Clark, Clemen, Cooper, Dawkins, Davis, (of Iowa), Douglas, Evans, Feltz, Geyer, Hamlin, Hunter, Jones, (of Iowa), Jones, (of Tennessee), King, Mallory, Mangum, Mason, Miller, Norris, Rusk, Schuchert, Shields, Sprague, Underhill, Walker, &c., &c., &c.

Nay—Messrs. Dodge, (of Wisconsin), Foster, Hale, Seward, Sumner, and Wade—6.

Mr. Hale, (free soil) of N. H., presented a petition praying for the repeal of the Fugitive Slave Law. A motion for its consideration was made by a vote of 31, as follows—

Yea—Messrs. Adams, Atchison, Badger, Bayard, Borah, Bradley, Brewster, Brooks, Chase, Clark, Clemen, Cooper, Dawkins, Davis, (of Iowa), Douglas, Evans, Feltz, Geyer, Hamlin, Hunter, Jones, (of Iowa), Jones, (of Tennessee), King, Mallory, Mangum, Mason, Miller, Norris, Rusk, Schuchert, Shields, Sprague, Underhill, Walker, &c., &c., &c.

Nay—Messrs. Dodge, (of Wisconsin), Foster, Hale, Seward, Sumner, and Wade—6.

Mr. Hale, (free soil) of N. H., presented a petition praying for the repeal of the Fugitive Slave Law. A motion for its consideration was made by a vote of 31, as follows—

Yea—Messrs. Adams, Atchison, Badger, Bayard, Borah, Bradley, Brewster, Brooks, Chase, Clark, Clemen, Cooper, Dawkins, Davis, (of Iowa), Douglas, Evans, Feltz, Geyer, Hamlin, Hunter, Jones, (of Iowa), Jones, (of Tennessee), King, Mallory, Mangum, Mason, Miller, Norris, Rusk, Schuchert, Shields, Sprague, Underhill, Walker, &c., &c., &c.

Nay—Messrs. Dodge, (of Wisconsin), Foster, Hale, Seward, Sumner, and Wade—6.

Mr. Hale, (free soil) of N. H., presented a petition praying for the repeal of the Fugitive Slave Law. A motion for its consideration was made by a vote of 31, as follows—

Yea—Messrs. Adams, Atchison, Badger, Bayard, Borah, Bradley, Brewster, Brooks, Chase, Clark, Clemen, Cooper, Dawkins, Davis, (of Iowa), Douglas, Evans, Feltz, Geyer, Hamlin, Hunter, Jones, (of Iowa), Jones, (of Tennessee), King, Mallory, Mangum, Mason, Miller, Norris, Rusk, Schuchert, Shields, Sprague, Underhill, Walker, &c., &c., &c.

Nay—Messrs. Dodge, (of Wisconsin), Foster, Hale, Seward, Sumner, and Wade—6.

Mr. Hale, (free soil) of N. H., presented a petition praying for the repeal of the Fugitive Slave Law. A motion for its consideration was made by a vote of 31, as follows—

Yea—Messrs. Adams, Atchison, Badger, Bayard, Borah, Bradley, Brewster, Brooks, Chase, Clark, Clemen, Cooper, Dawkins, Davis, (of Iowa), Douglas, Evans, Feltz, Geyer, Hamlin, Hunter, Jones, (of Iowa), Jones, (of Tennessee), King, Mallory, Mangum, Mason, Miller, Norris, Rusk, Schuchert, Shields, Sprague, Underhill, Walker, &c., &c., &c.

Nay—Messrs. Dodge, (of Wisconsin), Foster, Hale, Seward, Sumner, and Wade—6.

Mr. Hale, (free soil) of N. H., presented a petition praying for the repeal of the Fugitive Slave Law. A motion for its consideration was made by a vote of 31, as follows—

Yea—Messrs. Adams, Atchison, Badger, Bayard, Borah, Bradley, Brewster, Brooks, Chase, Clark, Clemen, Cooper, Dawkins, Davis, (of Iowa), Douglas, Evans, Feltz, Geyer, Hamlin, Hunter, Jones, (of Iowa), Jones, (of Tennessee), King, Mallory, Mangum, Mason, Miller, Norris, Rusk, Schuchert, Shields, Sprague, Underhill, Walker, &c., &c., &c.

Nay—Messrs. Dodge, (of Wisconsin), Foster, Hale, Seward, Sumner, and Wade—6.

Mr. Hale, (free soil) of N. H., presented a petition praying for the repeal of the Fugitive Slave Law. A motion for its consideration was made by a vote of 31, as follows—

Yea—Messrs. Adams, Atchison, Badger, Bayard, Borah, Bradley, Brewster, Brooks, Chase, Clark, Clemen, Cooper, Dawkins, Davis, (of Iowa), Douglas, Evans, Feltz, Geyer, Hamlin, Hunter, Jones, (of Iowa), Jones, (of Tennessee), King, Mallory, Mangum, Mason, Miller, Norris, Rusk, Schuchert, Shields, Sprague, Underhill, Walker, &c., &c., &c.

Nay—Messrs. Dodge, (of Wisconsin), Foster, Hale, Seward, Sumner, and Wade—6.

Mr. Hale, (free soil) of N. H., presented a petition praying for the repeal of the Fugitive Slave Law. A motion for its consideration was made by a vote of 31, as follows—

Yea—Messrs. Adams, Atchison, Badger, Bayard, Borah, Bradley, Brewster, Brooks, Chase, Clark, Clemen, Cooper, Dawkins, Davis, (of Iowa), Douglas, Evans, Feltz, Geyer, Hamlin, Hunter, Jones, (of Iowa), Jones, (of Tennessee), King, Mallory, Mangum, Mason, Miller, Norris, Rusk, Schuchert, Shields, Sprague, Underhill, Walker, &c., &c., &c.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

WASHINGTON, March 29, 1852.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES—NAVAL DISCIPLINE—THE JAPANESE EXPEDITION, &c., &c., &c.

Mr. Brown, (dem.) of Miss., asked leave to offer a resolution that the House proceed to the disposal of such Senate bills as the Speaker's table shall not give rise to debate.

Mr. Stanton, (dem.) of Tenn., said he was ready to report from the Committee on Naval Affairs the Senate bill enforcing discipline and good morals in the navy. The East India squadron is about to sail, and it is deemed important by the commanding officers that the bill should be passed.

Mr. Fessenden, (whig) of N. C., briefly urged the taking up of the bill.

Mr. Brown's resolution was not received, however—the House refusing to suspend the rules.

Mr. Stanton, (dem.) of Tenn., then asked leave to introduce the Discipline in the Navy bill.

Mr. Fessenden, (whig) of N. C., said it had been stated in the papers that the expedition spoken of is to enter into negotiations with the Japanese, at all hazards. Is this so?

Mr. Stanton—I am not able to inform the gentleman.

Mr. Stanton, (whig) of N. C.—I call the gentleman from Massachusetts to order. The inquiry has nothing to do with the question.

Mr. Brown's resolution was not received, however—the House refusing to suspend the rules.

Mr. Stanton, (dem.) of Tenn., then asked leave to introduce the Discipline in the Navy bill.

Mr. Fessenden, (whig) of N. C., said it had been stated in the papers that the expedition spoken of is to enter into negotiations with the Japanese, at all hazards. Is this so?

Mr. Stanton—I am not able to inform the gentleman.

Mr. Stanton, (whig) of N. C.—I call the gentleman from Massachusetts to order. The inquiry has nothing to do with the question.

Mr. Brown's resolution was not received, however—the House refusing to suspend the rules.

Mr. Stanton, (dem.) of Tenn., then asked leave to introduce the Discipline in the Navy bill.

Mr. Fessenden, (whig) of N. C., said it had been stated in the papers that the expedition spoken of is to enter into negotiations with the Japanese, at all hazards. Is this so?

Mr. Stanton—I am not able to inform the gentleman.

Mr. Stanton, (whig) of N. C.—I call the gentleman from Massachusetts to order. The inquiry has nothing to do with the question.

Mr. Brown's resolution was not received, however—the House refusing to suspend the rules.

Mr. Stanton, (dem.) of Tenn., then asked leave to introduce the Discipline in the Navy bill.

Mr. Fessenden, (whig) of N. C., said it had been stated in the papers that the expedition spoken of is to enter into negotiations with the Japanese, at all hazards. Is this so?

Mr. Stanton—I am not able to inform the gentleman.

Mr. Stanton, (whig) of N. C.—I call the gentleman from Massachusetts to order. The inquiry has nothing to do with the question.

Mr. Brown's resolution was not received, however—the House refusing to suspend the rules.

Mr. Stanton, (dem.) of Tenn., then asked leave to introduce the Discipline in the Navy bill.

Mr. Fessenden, (whig) of N. C., said it had been stated in the papers that the expedition spoken of is to enter into negotiations with the Japanese, at all hazards. Is this so?

Mr. Stanton—I am not able to inform the gentleman.

Mr. Stanton, (whig) of N. C.—I call the gentleman from Massachusetts to order. The inquiry has nothing to do with the question.

Mr. Brown's resolution was not received, however—the House refusing to suspend the rules.

Mr. Stanton, (dem.) of Tenn., then asked leave to introduce the Discipline in the Navy bill.

Mr. Fessenden, (whig) of N. C., said it had been stated in the papers that the expedition spoken of is to enter into negotiations with the Japanese, at all hazards. Is this so?

Mr. Stanton—I am not able to inform the gentleman.

Mr. Stanton, (whig) of N. C.—I call the gentleman from Massachusetts to order. The inquiry has nothing to do with the question.

Mr. Brown's resolution was not received, however—the House refusing to suspend the rules.

Mr. Stanton, (dem.) of Tenn., then asked leave to introduce the Discipline in the Navy bill.

Mr. Fessenden, (whig) of N. C., said it had been stated in the papers that the expedition spoken of is to enter into negotiations with the Japanese, at all hazards. Is this so?

Mr. Stanton—I am not able to inform the gentleman.

Mr. Stanton, (whig) of N. C.—I call the gentleman from Massachusetts to order. The inquiry has nothing to do with the question.

Mr. Brown's resolution was not received, however—the House refusing to suspend the rules.

Mr. Stanton, (dem.) of Tenn., then asked leave to introduce the Discipline in the Navy bill.

Mr. Fessenden, (whig) of N. C., said it had been stated in the papers that the expedition spoken of is to enter into negotiations with the Japanese, at all hazards. Is this so?

Mr. Stanton—I am not able to inform the gentleman.

Mr. Stanton, (whig) of N. C.—I call the gentleman from Massachusetts to order. The inquiry has nothing to do with the question.

Mr. Brown's resolution was not received, however—the House refusing to suspend the rules.

Mr. Stanton, (dem.) of Tenn., then asked leave to introduce the Discipline in the Navy bill.

Mr. Fessenden, (whig) of N. C., said it had been stated in the papers that the expedition spoken of is to enter into negotiations with the Japanese, at all hazards. Is this so?

Mr. Stanton—I am not able to inform the gentleman.

Mr. Stanton, (whig) of N. C.—I call the gentleman from Massachusetts to order. The inquiry has nothing to do with the question.

Mr. Brown's resolution was not received, however—the House refusing to suspend the rules.

Mr. Stanton, (dem.) of Tenn., then asked leave to introduce the Discipline in the Navy bill.

Mr. Fessenden, (whig) of N. C., said it had been stated in the papers that the expedition spoken of is to enter into negotiations with the Japanese, at all hazards. Is this so?

Mr. Stanton—I am not able to inform the gentleman.

Mr. Stanton, (whig) of N. C.—I call the gentleman from Massachusetts to order. The inquiry has nothing to do with the question.

Mr. Brown's resolution was not received, however—the House refusing to suspend the rules.

Mr. Stanton, (dem.) of Tenn., then asked leave to introduce the Discipline in the Navy bill.

Mr. Fessenden, (whig) of N. C., said it had been stated in the papers that the expedition spoken of is to enter into negotiations with the Japanese, at all hazards. Is this so?

Mr. Stanton—I am not able to inform the gentleman.

Mr. Stanton, (whig) of N. C.—I call the gentleman from Massachusetts to order. The inquiry has nothing to do with the question.

Mr. Brown's resolution was not received, however—the House refusing to suspend the rules.

Mr. Stanton, (dem.) of Tenn., then asked leave to introduce the Discipline in the Navy bill.

Mr. Fessenden, (whig) of N. C., said it had been stated in the papers that the expedition spoken of is to enter into negotiations with the Japanese, at all hazards. Is this so?

Mr. Stanton—I am not able to inform the gentleman.

Mr. Stanton, (whig) of N. C.—I call the gentleman from Massachusetts to order. The inquiry has nothing to do with the question.

Mr. Brown's resolution was not received, however—the House refusing to suspend the rules.

Mr. Stanton, (dem.) of Tenn., then asked leave to introduce the Discipline in the Navy bill.

Mr. Fessenden, (whig) of N. C., said it had been stated in the papers that the expedition spoken of is to enter into negotiations with the Japanese, at all hazards. Is this so?

Mr. Stanton—I am not able to inform the gentleman.

Mr. Stanton, (whig) of N. C.—I call the gentleman from Massachusetts to order. The inquiry has nothing to do with the question.

Mr. Brown's resolution was not received, however—the House refusing to suspend the rules.

Mr. Stanton, (dem.) of Tenn., then asked leave to introduce the Discipline in the Navy bill.

Mr. Fessenden, (whig) of N. C., said it had been stated in the papers that the expedition spoken of is to enter into negotiations with the Japanese, at all hazards. Is this so?

Mr. Stanton—I am not able to inform the gentleman.

Mr. Stanton, (whig) of N. C.—I call the gentleman from Massachusetts to order. The inquiry has nothing to do with the question.

Mr. Brown's resolution was not received, however—the House refusing to suspend the rules.

Mr. Stanton, (dem.) of Tenn., then asked leave to introduce the Discipline in the Navy bill.

Mr. Fessenden, (whig) of N. C., said it had been stated in the papers that the expedition spoken of is to enter into negotiations with the Japanese, at all hazards. Is this so?

Mr. Stanton—I am not able to inform the gentleman.

Mr. Stanton, (whig) of N. C.—I call the gentleman from Massachusetts to order. The inquiry has nothing to do with the question.

Mr. Brown's resolution was not received, however—the House refusing to suspend the rules.

THE MINT MEETING AT PHILADELPHIA.

PHILADELPHIA, March 29, 1852.

The public meeting, this evening, to re-visit the removal of the Mint from this city, attracted a goodly number of the most influential citizens, without distinction of party. The speakers were all men of business, and most of them were their first appearance at the meeting.

The meeting was organized by a selection of officers, viz:—

ISAAC H. DAVIS, President.

Robert Ewing, Jacob J. Vaughan, John Miller, Jr., Thomas H. Lancaster, Henry White, Jas. F. Verree, James Hart, Allen C. Muhlenberg, Henry L. Benson, James Traquair, Gideon G. Westcott, Wm. P. Janks.

The President stated the object of the meeting to be, to resist the removal of the mint from this city. He could not believe that the representatives of the nation would consent to the location of a branch mint in New York city, when it came to be understood that the removal of the mother mint was contemplated. He urged that the present capacity of the mint was capable for a coinage of one hundred and twenty millions per annum, larger than there is any probability it will amount to for many years. The mint has been in operation since 1793, and in 1828 Congress declared its location here should be permanent. The only reason assigned for the removal of the mint is the decay of the city, and the most never exceeds five days, and at times but one day. New York, he said, owed an obligation to Philadelphia for not securing the mint, and for not allowing it to be removed to New York. The mint is the only one of the kind in the United States, and its removal would be a great loss to the country. He urged that the present capacity of the mint was capable for a coinage of one hundred and twenty millions per annum, larger than there is any probability it will amount to for many years. The mint has been in operation since 1793, and in 1828 Congress declared its location here should be permanent. The only reason assigned for the removal of the mint is the decay of the city, and the most never exceeds five days, and at times but one day. New York, he said, owed an obligation to Philadelphia for not securing the mint, and for not allowing it to be removed to New York. The mint is the only one of the kind in the United States, and its removal would be a great loss to the country.

Mr. Ewing, in his remarks, read the preamble to a resolution, which he moved to be adopted, to the effect that the mint should be removed to New York. He urged that the present capacity of the mint was capable for a coinage of one hundred and twenty millions per annum, larger than there is any probability it will amount to for many years. The mint has been in operation since 1793, and in 1828 Congress declared its location here should be permanent. The only reason assigned for the removal of the mint is the decay of the city, and the most never exceeds five days, and at times but one day. New York, he said, owed an obligation to Philadelphia for not securing the mint, and for not allowing it to be removed to New York. The mint is the only one of the kind in the United States, and its removal would be a great loss to the country.

Mr. Ewing, in his remarks, read the preamble to a resolution, which he moved to be adopted, to the effect that the mint should be removed to New York. He urged that the present capacity of the mint was capable for a coinage of one hundred and twenty millions per annum, larger than there is any probability it will amount to for many years. The mint has been in operation since 1793, and in 1828 Congress declared its location here should be permanent. The only reason assigned for the removal of the mint is the decay of the city, and the most